



Out of Many/**One Union**
AFGE NVAC/AFL-CIO

NATIONAL VETERANS AFFAIRS COUNCIL

American Federation of Government Employees, Affiliated with the AFL-CIO

NATIONAL GRIEVANCE

NG-08/02/17

Date: August 2, 2017

To: Kimberly McLeod
Acting Executive Director, Labor-Management Relations
Department of Veterans Affairs
810 Vermont Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20420
Kimberly.mcleod@va.gov
Sent via electronic mail

From: Michael Gillman, Staff Counsel, National Veterans Affairs Council (#53) (NVAC),
American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO (AFGE)

RE: National Grievance in the matter of Department of Veterans Affairs for its failure to bargain over changes in conditions of employment by unilaterally implementing the Office of Information & Technology ITOPS Transformation Realignment

STATEMENT OF CHARGE

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 43, Section 11 of the Master Agreement Between the Department of Veterans Affairs and the American Federation of Government Employees (2011) (“MCBA”), the American Federation of Government Employees/National Veterans Affairs Council (the “Union”) is filing this National Grievance against you and all other associated officials and/or individuals acting as agents on behalf of the Agency for failure to bargain with the Union over changes in conditions of employment by unilaterally implementing the ITOPS Transformation Realignment within the VA Office of Information & Technology without bargaining with the Union.

By implementing this realignment prior to bargaining with the Union, the Agency violated Articles 2 and 47 of the MCBA and 5 U.S.C. §7116(a), and any and all other relevant articles, laws, regulations, customs, and past practices not herein specified.



STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On May 18, 2017, the Agency, by and through its representatives and announced via email sent directly to bargaining unit employees that the Agency planned to implement a “ITOPS Transformation Realignment” within the VA Office of Information & Technology. The Union, by letter dated May 20, 2017, demanded to bargain with the Agency over the proposed changes. In recognition of the Agency’s statutory obligation to bargain with the Union over proposed changes prior to implementation, the demand to bargain also demanded that the Agency cease and desist any implementation of the proposed realignment until the bargaining obligation has been met.

To date the Agency has not provided the Union with any information or data related to the proposed changes, has not provided the Union with a briefing on the proposed changes, and has not commenced bargaining with the Union over the changes. Despite this fact, the Agency has begun implementing the very realignment that is the subject of the Union’s demand. Specifically, the Agency has been directly distributing to bargaining unit employees a series of updates on the transformation realignment, including among other things, a fully updated reorganization chart.

Article 47 of the MCBA sets forth the contractual obligations of the parties for mid-term bargaining at the national level. Specifically, Section 1(C) permits the Union to “initiate mid-term bargaining at all levels on matters affecting the working conditions of bargaining unit employees.” Section 2(B) requires the Agency to brief the Union, if requested, within twenty (20) workdays after receiving the Union’s demand to bargain. Further, 5 U.S.C. §7116(a) requires the Agency to “consult or negotiate in good faith with a labor organization.” The Agency violated the Master Agreement and federal law by ignoring the Union’s demand to bargain and unilaterally implementing the ITOPS realignment.

Violation

By failing to fulfill its obligations, the Agency violated, and continues to violate, the following:

- Article 47, Sections 1 and 2 of the MCBA: requiring the Agency to participate in the negotiation process when the Union issues a bargaining demand;
- Article 2, Section 1 of the MCBA: requiring the Agency to comply with all applicable federal statutes;
- Section 7116(a)(5) of the Federal Service Labor-Management Relations Statute: requiring the Agency to negotiate in good faith; and
- Any and all other relevant articles, laws, regulations, customs, and past practices not herein specified.

Remedy Requested

The Union asks that, to remedy the above referenced violations, the Agency agree to the following:

- To cease and desist the implementation of the ITOPS Transformation Realignment until such time as the Agency bargaining obligation has been met;
- To return to the *status quo ante* until the Agency has met its contractual and statutory obligations to the Union;
- To fully comply with its contractual and statutory obligations under Article 47 of the MCBA and 5 U.S.C. 7116(a)(5)
- To post, and distribute to affected employees via electronic mail, an appropriate notice signed by the appropriate Agency official acknowledging the failure to bargain and affirming its obligations under the Statute; and
- To agree to any and all other remedies appropriate in this matter.

Time Frame and Contact

This is a National Grievance. The time frame for resolution of this matter is not waived until the matter is resolved or settled. If you have any questions regarding this National Grievance, please contact the undersigned at (202) 639-6424.

Submitted by,



Michael A. Gillman
Staff Counsel, National VA Council
American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO
80 F St. NW
Washington, D.C. 20001
Tel.: (202) 639-6424
Fax: (202) 379-2928
Michael.gillman@afge.org

cc: Alma L. Lee, President, AFGE/NVAC
Mary-Jean Burke, Chairperson, Grievance and Arbitration Committee, AFGE/NVAC
Ibidun Roberts, NVAC Supervisory Attorney, AFGE